



Map Unit	Component Soils*	Surface Drainage	Seasonal Frost Susceptibility	Permafrost and Flow Stability	Slope Stability	Suitability for construction	Potential engineering considerations
A1	Qc, Qm	Well drained near steep stream banks and where water table is deep; seasonally flooded	Subject to deep, dry freezing where coarse grained and water table is deep; subject to intense frost heaving where silty	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content and silty; may be thaw unstable where silt and primarily frozen	Highly susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Excellent source of clean, sandy gravel aggregate and clean fill material; may be poorly graded, well-drained and gravel provide excellent foundation	Subject to mudflow every 1-2 yr during high stream stages (Chapin and others, 2006) and by surface in banked reaches; shallow water table limits depth of excavation; thawed fine sand and silt subject to liquefaction; response to seismic shaking may vary considerably, especially near frozen zones
A2	Qm	Generally poor due to shallow water table and shallow permafrost; moderate to good on natural levees and crevasse fills	Generally subject to intense heaving in fine-grained cover deposits and channel fills; otherwise, generally not susceptible unless silty	Uniform in younger areas to discontinuous in older areas, generally with low to moderate ice content; high ice content in frozen organic sand and silt channel fills; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Highly susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; subject to differential settlement when thawed	Where thawed, excellent source of sandy gravel aggregate beneath silty surface layer; presence of permafrost and shallow water table may limit potential as source of sandy gravel aggregate and suitability for foundation	Subject to mudflow every 1-2 yr during high stream stages (Chapin and others, 2006); shallow water table limits depth of excavation; where thawed, fine sand and silt subject to liquefaction; response to seismic shaking may vary considerably, especially near frozen zones
A3	Qab	Generally poor due to widespread shallow permafrost	Subject to intense heaving in fine-grained cover deposits and silty channel fills; not susceptible where coarse grained	Generally frozen with low to moderate ice content; high ice content in frozen surface peat and organic sand and silt channel fills; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; subject to differential settlement when thawed	Widespread permafrost and shallow water table limit potential as source of sandy gravel aggregate and suitability for foundation	Subject to mudflow every 500 to 1000 yr (Mann and others, 1995; Mann and Begét, 1991); shallow water table and presence of permafrost limit depth of excavation; subject to liquefaction where thawed; response to seismic shaking may vary considerably, especially near frozen zones
A4	Qm	Good near descending scarps; due to poor away from scarps; subject to local flooding	Intense in fine-grained cover sediments and silty channel fills; otherwise not susceptible where coarse grained	Continuously to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; high ice content in frozen surface peat; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; frozen zones subject to differential settlement when thawed	Excellent source of sand and gravel beneath fine-grained cover sediments; although shallow permafrost may limit depth of excavation, bedrock shallow in many streams; excellent foundation where thawed	Bedrock shallow in many streams; locally subject to seasonal slope and stream flooding; where saturated, fine-grained cover sediments subject to liquefaction; seismic shaking may vary considerably, especially near frozen zones; locally sensitive to surface disturbance
A5	Qm	Generally good, except in frozen distal zones	Intense in fine-grained cover deposits and silty zones; otherwise not frost susceptible	Uniform to discontinuously frozen, except in fine-grained distal zones, where permafrost is continuous; ice content low to moderate; thaw unstable where fine grained	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels and in permafrost zones of fan	Generally unsuitable as aggregate source because of numerous boulders, high silt content, and permafrost; moderate suitability for foundations	Permafrost zones subject to lateral flooding; slow available, debris flows, and mudflows; subject to sudden shifts in channels and sites of deposition and erosion
A6	Qtr, Qb	Generally poor; may be seasonally flooded	Intense	Permafrost to discontinuously frozen with moderate to high ice content; thaw unstable	Highly susceptible to gully and piping when vegetation is removed; subject to differential settlement when thawed	Source of organic material for landscaping; suitable for foundations only when permafrost is preserved	Thawing produces mudflows and hyperconcentrated flows; subject to erosion and debris flow; subject to sudden shifts in channels and sites of deposition and erosion
F	Qb	Generally excellent to good, except moderate to poor where thalwally flung	Intense in fine-grained cover sediments; otherwise, not susceptible	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low ice content; generally thaw stable	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Good source of sand and gravel; large boulders locally abundant; excellent foundation material	Bedrock shallow in many streams
C	Qc, Qm, Qcl, Qcl, Qcl, Qcl, Qcl	Generally good	Susceptible where silty	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; generally thaw stable, except where silty	Generally stable unless ice or margin of slope is removed; locally subject to sloughing and sliding; subject to snow avalanches and rock falls	Generally unsuitable as aggregate source because numerous large angular fragments require special handling; where frozen, may require ripping or blasting; poor foundation where blocks are loose and unstable to good foundation where coarse and fine fractions are well sorted and stable	May become unstable if margin of toe is removed
E1	E1	Generally good, except poorly drained where frozen	Intense where moist to wet, low where dry	Generally unfrozen, except discontinuously to continuously frozen with moderate to high ice content on lower south-facing and on north-facing slopes; thaw unstable where ice content is moderate to high	Highly susceptible to gully and piping; subject to differential settlement upon thawing where frozen and ice-rich	Source of fines for landscaping and mixing; makes good foundation where thawed and dry; sandy when wet; clay when dry	Vertical cuts can be stable if drainage is provided; ice-rich areas sensitive to surface disturbance
E2	Qm	Generally good, except poorly drained where covered with frozen silt	Generally unsuitable, except in silty cover deposits	Generally unfrozen to dry frozen, except silty cover sediments are discontinuously frozen and locally rich	Highly susceptible to gully and deflation where vegetation cover is disturbed	Difficult to compact for foundations	Subject to deflation where unprotected
G1	Qf, Qcl, Qcl, Qcl	Generally good on upland surfaces and poor in depressions	Generally low susceptibility where well drained; moderate to intense where matrix is silty and in silty slope-wash in depressions	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; generally thaw stable, except may be thaw unstable in silty silt	Generally stable where frozen or dry; subject to instability where fine-grained silt are thawed and ice content is moderate to high	Highly variable but can be good local source of mixed coarse and fine fractions for fill; local source of water-washed sand and gravel; good foundation where thawed and dry	Subject to gully where surface runoff is concentrated
G2	Qm, Qm	Generally good	Generally low susceptibility where drained	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low ice content	Generally stable except subject to overtopping where steep relief slopes are adjacent	Highly variable but may be good source of water-washed sand and gravel; good foundation where thawed and dry	Locally rich in overburden material
G3	Qcl, Qcl	Good	Generally unsuitable, except intense in silty cover deposits	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low ice content	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; steep cut faces subject to raveling	Excellent source of sand and gravel; excellent foundation	Early construction, although locally contains numerous large boulders
L1	Qb, Ql	Very poor; subject to seasonal flooding	Intense	Discontinuously to continuously permafrost with moderate to high ice content; thaw unstable	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Generally unsuitable; muddy when wet	Subject to seasonal flooding during high stream stages
L2	Qb	Generally good, but variable	Intense if wet or moist	Uniform to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; thaw unstable where frozen and ice-rich	Subject to differential settlement where frozen and ice-rich	Possible low-volume source of sandy gravel and organic material for landscaping; generally unsuitable for foundations	Subject to ice scour in winter water table shores
P	Qp	Generally very poor; subject to seasonal flooding	Intense	Discontinuously to continuously permafrost with moderate to very high ice content; thaw unstable	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; subject to subsidence when thawed	Source of organic material for landscaping; unsuitable for foundations unless permafrost is preserved	Difficult to excavate and compact; subject to seasonal slope and stream flooding
B	b, b', b''	Generally poor except where highly bedrock	Low, except where rock is highly weathered or fractured	Generally thaw stable, except where ice forms in extensive feature spaces	Generally stable, except where orientation of joints, fractures, or foliation may cause failure	Can be good source for crushed aggregate and rip rap where rock is hard, fresh, and not highly fractured	Quality of rock will vary depending on lithology, degree of weathering, and fracturing; soil cover of weathering or shearing may be clay rich

\*Derived from geologic units in Reger and others, 2008.

INTRODUCTION

This map is derived electronically from the surficial-geologic map of the initial segment of the proposed natural gas pipeline corridor through the upper Tanana valley (Reger and others, 2008) using Geographic Information System (GIS) software. Surficial-geologic units were initially identified by interpretation of false-color 1:65,000-scale infrared aerial photographs taken in July 1978, August 1980, and August 1981 and locally verified by field checking in 2006 and 2007. The map shows the distribution of surficial-geologic and bedrock units grouped genetically with common properties that are typically significant for engineering applications:

- A – Alluvial deposits (includes some flood deposits)
- F – Flood deposits
- C – Colluvial deposits
- E – Eolian deposits
- G – Glacial deposits
- L – Lake deposits
- P – Paludal peat deposits
- B – Bedrock

The accompanying table lists generalized properties of these groups, including surface drainage, effects of seasonal freezing, the presence of permafrost frozen ground and the consequences of thawing, stability of slopes, suitability and limitations of material for construction purposes, and potential constraints. Physical properties of map units are interpretive, based on extrapolation from verified localities and from previously published reports and data. Potential geologic hazards are inferred from the typical physical properties of map units, including sediment texture and ground-ice content, and their typical topographic settings. Except for a few test pits, no subsurface investigations or laboratory analyses were performed for this publication. The reader is cautioned that this map is intended only as a general guide, and that unevaluated geologic resources and hazards may be present. Detailed geotechnical investigations should be conducted prior to utilization of any map units for engineering purposes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the helpful review by De Anne Stevens, and able cartographic assistance by Kyle Obermiller. Funding for this project is from the Alaska State FY07 Capital Improvements Project and FY05 Supplemental funding.

REFERENCES CITED

Chapin, F.S., III, Viereck, L.A., Adams, P.C., Van Cleve, Keith, Fastie, C.L., Ott, R.A., Mann, Daniel, and Johnston, J.F., 2006, Successional processes in the Alaskan boreal forest, in Chapin, F.S., III, Oswood, M.W., Van Cleve, Keith, Viereck, L.A., and Verbyla, D.L., eds., Alaska's changing boreal forest. Oxford, England, Oxford University Press, p. 100-120.

Mann, D.H., Fastie, C.L., Rowland, E.L., and Bigelow, N.H., 1995, Spruce succession, disturbance, and geomorphology on the Tanana River floodplain, Alaska. *Ecoscience*, v. 2, no. 2, p. 184-199.

Mason, O.K., and Begét, J.E., 1991, Late Holocene flood history of the Tanana River, Alaska, U.S.A., *Arctic and Alpine Research*, v. 23, no. 4, p. 392-403.

Reger, R.D., Stevens, D.S.P., and Solie, D.N., 2008, Surficial geology, Alaska Highway corridor Delta Junction to Dot Lake, Alaska: Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys Preliminary Interpretive Report 2008-3a, 48 p., 2 sheets, scale 1:63,360.

The State of Alaska makes no express or implied warranties (including warranties for merchantability and fitness) with respect to the character, functions, or capabilities of the electronic data or products or their appropriateness for any user's purposes. In no event will the State of Alaska be liable for any incidental, indirect, special, consequential, or other damages suffered by the user or any other person or entity whether from the use of the electronic services or products, or any failure thereof or otherwise. In no event will the State of Alaska's liability to the Requestor or anyone else exceed the fee paid for the electronic service or product.

DGGS publications can be purchased or ordered from the Fairbanks office at:  
Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys  
3354 College Road  
Fairbanks, AK 99709-3707  
451-5000 (phone) dggs@alaska.gov  
451-5050 (fax) http://www.dggs.dnr.state.ak.us



State of Alaska  
Department of Natural Resources  
Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys

ENGINEERING-GEOLOGIC MAP, ALASKA HIGHWAY CORRIDOR, DELTA JUNCTION TO DOT LAKE, ALASKA  
by  
R.D. Reger<sup>1</sup> and D.N. Solie<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Reger's Geologic Consulting, Seldovia, Alaska  
<sup>2</sup>Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys